



# Minutes

## DISCIPLINE COMMITTEE

Date:	December 2, 2021	
Present*:	W. Martin Finch, QC (Chair) (recused for item 7) Brook J. Greenberg, QC (Vice-Chair) Dr. Jan Lindsay (departed at 11:40 pm at item 8)	Iain McIver Philip A. Riddell, QC Karen Snowshoe Marnie Westbury (recused for items 16, A5, and A6)
Absent:	Barbara Cromarty	
Staff Present*:	Jessica Abells Adele Ahmad Gurprit Bains Daylee Blakeley Kathleen Bradley Catherine Carter Vania Choi Daniel Chow Sarah Conroy Marsha Down Anneke Driessen Frances Gropper Setareh Khasha	Barbara Lohmann Chris Los Tara McPhail (entered partway through item 8) Shehla Miyanji (left before item 17) Mandana Namazi Haley Strachan Janice Tayler Ilana Teicher Kurt Wedel Chris Putney Julie Erskine Natasha Dookie Eva Milz Serena Lam

\*All attendees attended electronically via videoconference.

## COMPLAINTS

### 8. A3) LINDE, Carey – File No. CO20210518

After considering an opinion from staff, **it was resolved** to direct the Executive Director to issue a citation against Mr. Linde substantially in the form attached to the opinion, for the following conduct in the course of representing his client in a family law matter:



# Minutes

- a) providing information about a person's gender identity, physical and mental health, and mental health status or treatments, to an American media outlet during a recorded interview, when he knew or ought to have known that the terms of a Release Order prohibited the transmission of that information, contrary to one or more of rules 2.1, 2.1-1(a), 2.2-1, and 7.5-1 of the *Code of Professional Conduct for British Columbia*; and
  
- b) giving a recorded interview to an American media outlet about a person's medical and personal information without making his participation in the interview conditional on the interviewer's agreement to adhere to the applicable publication bans and anonymity orders, when he knew or ought to have known that the Court had directed that a person speaking about the case had an obligation to ensure that the audience was aware of the existence of these bans and orders, and aware of the obligation not to breach them, and when he knew or ought to have known that a judge had found it to be an aggravating factor that the family law client had targeted American media outlets for the purpose of speaking about the case, contrary to one or more of rules 2.1, 2.1-1(a), 2.2-1, and 7.5-1 of the *Code of Professional Conduct for British Columbia*.